# Evaluation report



Application user project

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ACTMOST project leader | | | |
| Name of project leader: |  | | |
| ACTMOST partner: |  |  |  |
| Tel: |  | fax: |  |
| email: |  | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Company info | | | | | | |
|  | | | | | | |
| Name of the company: | |  | | | | |
| Department : | |  | | | | |
| Website: | |  | |  | |  |
| Address: |  | | Country: | |  |
| Type of company: | SME  Large-scale company | | | | |
| Comment: | |  |  | |  | |
|  | |  |  | |  | |
| Company contact PERSON | | | | | | |
|  | | | | | | |
| Name of contact person: | |  | | | | |
| Title: | |  | |  | |  |
| Tel: | |  | | fax: | |  |
| email: | |  | | | | |

|  |
| --- |
|  |
| |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | | General project information | | | | |  | | | | | **Title of the User Project:** |  |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | | **Involved ACTMOST partners :** |  | | **Involved ACTMOST access centers  (first indicated access center is the main unit assigned to this project):** |  | |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| Eligibility criteria |

The table below shows an overview of the eligibility criteria for an ACTMOST user project. All criteria should be fulfilled in order to get access to the ACTMOST subsidy model.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| The European identity of the potential user ( company) | OK |
| The pre-competitive character of the request | OK |
| The suitability of the request as pilot project for ACTMOST | OK |
| The type of support activity (dedicated training, user project involving one or more units of the food-chain, etc) | OK |
| The demonstrable capacity of ACTMOST to accomplish the request | OK |
| The appropriateness of the proposed coordinator, partners and consortium to take on the request and bring it to a successful ending | OK |
| The requested financial support and whether it is commensurate with the request ( if not ok please fill in \* ) | OK |
| The conformity of the request with the general objectives of the EC Photonics Unit | OK |

It can be concluded that all eligibility criteria are fulfilled.

### Eligibility criteria

### Eligibility criteria

|  |
| --- |
| Evaluation criteria |

*The table below shows an overview of the score on the different evaluation criteria. The project has been evaluated in two different parts. Both parts consists of different criteria points. Each criteria is scored with a value from 0 (under performed) to 10 (excellent). Each criterion has a certain weight. The score is multiplied with this weight. If for example the weight is 3 the max for this criterion is 30 points in total. The overall total of each Part individual should be at least 70 points (70/100 ). If this overall threshold is not reached the project cannot be accepted.*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Evaluation criteria - Part I | Score | Weight | Total | Threshold |
| 1 | The innovative character of the project: - Does the solution already exists on the market?  - Does the project lead to unique features (IP)  - Can photonics be seen as a key enabling element in this project?  - Is the project creating valuable IP? |  | 3 |  |  |
| 2 | The added value on the business level for the company:  •the added value of the request for the user in the format of a business plan (including a market analysis clearly highlighting the future market potential and the expected positive impacts on the company), in particular:  -the market description and its potential evolution  -competitors and competing products  -the unique selling point of the product to be developed (including IPR)  -the expected return on investment (ROI) and financial perspectives |  | 3 |  |  |
| 3 | The added value for the European citizens:   * Will the outcome of the project create an added value for the European citizens (e.g. improved health diagnostics/treatments, environmental aspects, strengthening the social network, home care for aged people, ….). |  | 0.5 |  |  |
| 4 | The collaboration between the partners   * Is the project initiating a new collaboration between the industry and one of the research partners? * Chance for follow-up projects |  | 1.5 |  |  |
| 5 | Type of the company (SME = 10; LS = 5) |  | 1 |  |  |
| 6 | Former support:  Did the company receive ACTMOST support on a previous occasion? (priority goes to users that have not received support before)  No support = 10; Previous support= 0 |  | 1 |  |  |
| Total | | | |  | 70 |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Evaluation criteria - Part II | Score | Weight | Total | Threshold |
| 1 | The maturity of the company / dynamic & entrepreneurial character / credibility  Has the company a proven track record of bringing new products/solutions to the market? |  | 2,5 |  |  |
| 2 | The level of commitment of the company:  What is the involvement and effort (technology, man power, material, financial contribution) brought in by the company.   *Remark: This will be objectively be defined based on the direct and indirect financial involvement of the company. E.g. in case the company contributes for 30% to the total project cost, this will be valuated as a score of 3.* |  | 3 |  |  |
| 3 | Quality of the project team & project plan  Are the proposed ACTMOST partners well positioned to carry out the developments?  Is the timeline, choice of deliverables and milestones ok ? |  | 3 |  |  |
| 4 | Agreement about IPR issues:  Is there already an agreement about the IPR issues ( related to foreground and back ground knowhow ) between the project partners? |  | 1,5 |  |  |
| Total | | | |  | 70 |

### valuation criteria

|  |
| --- |
| Evaluation comments and conclusion |